Observations des atmosphères d'exoplanètes par les missions spatiales


## About composition and density

- Densities and distances of objects in solar system supports this condensation the
- Rocky planets : 3-6 $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$
=> mostly rocks and metals.
- Gazeous planets: 1-2 $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$
=> Rocky-core, ices and gazes
- Inner belt asteroids: contains metals and rocks
- Outer main belt, KBOs: less metals, more ices





## Extrasolar planet detection

Sept 10 2022, 5168 planets / 3812 planetary systems / 835 multiple planet systems

Astrometry ( 17 objects, 6 planets ??+53 GAIA candidates)
Radial Velocity ( 1005 planets in 747 systems, 177 multiple planet systems)
Transit ( 3618 planets in 2734 systems, 576 multiple planet systems)
Microlensing ( 215 planets in 195 systems, 710 multiple planet systems)
Direct detection ( 213 planets in 125 systems, 8 multiple planet systems)

## Planets are ubiquitous



## The transit technique

Only planets closed to ~ 90 deg inclinaison
Transit probability $\mathcal{P}_{\operatorname{tr}}=\frac{R_{*}+R_{\mathrm{p}}}{a\left(1-e^{2}\right)} \simeq R_{*} / a$


10 \% probability for a planet at 0.05 AU around a solar like star

Transit depth $\Delta F / F \simeq R_{p}^{2} / R_{*}^{2}$
Jupiter : 1 \% depth Earth: 0.01 \% depth

## transit and occultations



## Native Apps

Executables (64-bit and 32-bit) for Windows and (64-biffor Macintosh computers are available for all of our older projects (NAAP, ClassAction, ¿Zanking Tasks). The appropriate package for your (or your student's) computer sysies myst ye downloaded and installed locally. Note that these are actual applications that runipyer sedive OS and their longevity depends only upon your OS. There is no similar viable solution $4 d r$ Chromebooks.

Note that every simulation available in the past on this site is contained in either the ClassAction or NAAP Labs native app. (In ClassAction look under the Animations tab.) The following guide to content is provided to assist you in navigating. Student guides and demonstration guides can be found on the NAAP Resources page.

## Windows Executables (for 64-bit machines, what most people want)

| ClassAction - v2.3.msi | 97.4 MB | January 30, 2020 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NAAP Labs - v1.1.msi | 22.4 MB | January 30, 2020 |
| Interactives - v1.1.msi | 46.7 MB | January 30, 2020 |

## MacOS Executables

| ClassAction - v2.3.pkg | 97.1 MB |
| :--- | :--- | January 30, 2020

## HD209458b transiting hot Jupiter in 1999

Observations du sol


Charbonneau et al. (1999)

Observations spatiale HST


Charbonneau et al. (2000)
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## Kepler Planet Candidates

 January 2014


## Mass radius relations and isodensity curves with firsdt small planets




## Histogram of planet radii, 2 peaks, super-Earth and Mini-Neptune



Completeness-corrected histogram of planet radii for planets with orbital periods shorter than 100 days.

Lightly shaded regions encompass our definitions of "super-Earths" (light red) and "sub-Neptunes" (light cyan). The dashed cyan line is a plausible model for the underlying occurrence distribution after removing the smearing caused by uncertainties on the planet radii measurements.

## Classification according to density
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## Ternary diagrams

- $A+B+C=100 \%$
- How to plot the 3 variables together



# Example of reading the figure 



GJ1214b, 6.55 Mearth
Calculating different models $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{He}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}$, Earth like nucleus fractions.
Isocurves for Radiu 2.5, 3, ..., 10, 12, 15 Rearth


Valencia, 2013



Ternary Diagrams for GJ 1214b and Kepler11e. These triangular diagrams relate the composition in terms of earth-like nucleus fraction, water+ices fraction, and $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{He}$ fraction to total mass, to the radius for a specific planetary mass. Each vertex corresponds to $100 \%$, and the opposite side to $0 \%$ of a particular component. The color bar shows the radius in terms of Earth-radii, and the grey lines are the isoradius curves labeled in terms of Earth-radii. The collection of ternary diagrams for a range of planetary masses forms a triangular prism. The black band shows the compositions constrained by data for GJ 1214b for a grain-free envelope (top left), and a grainy envelope (bottom right), and Kepler-11e for a grain free envelope (top right) as projected onto the planetary mass MMM from the ternary diagrams at $M+\Delta M M+$ Delta $M M+\Delta M$ and $M-\Delta M M$-Delta $M M-\Delta M$ (where $\Delta M$ Delta $M \Delta M$ are the uncertainty values taken from the observational data).


## Histogram of planet radii, 2 peaks, super-Earth and Mini-Neptune



Completeness-corrected histogram of planet radii for planets with orbital periods shorter than 100 days.

Lightly shaded regions encompass our definitions of "super-Earths" (light red) and "sub-Neptunes" (light cyan). The dashed cyan line is a plausible model for the underlying occurrence distribution after removing the smearing caused by uncertainties on the planet radii measurements.

## A fabulous diversity in the exoplanet zoo Mass and Radius are not enough

5 Super Earth / Mini Neptunes in Kepler 11. Very different atmospheres !
(Lissauer et al. 2011, Valencia et al., 2013)

$50 \%\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\right.$ ices $)+50 \% \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{He}$




Transit depth:

$$
\delta_{t r a}=\left(\frac{R_{p}}{R_{\star}}\right)^{2}
$$

## Occultation depth:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{o c c}= & \frac{I_{p}}{I_{\star}}\left(\frac{R_{p}}{R_{\star}}\right)^{2} \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

Flux ratio day side of the planet / star


At different wavelength, because of different absorbing molecules-> different effective radius


## Scale height in an atmosphere

$$
P(z)=P\left(z_{0}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{Z-Z}{H}\right)
$$

Pressure falls off exponentially with height in atmosphere with uniform temperature.
$H=\left(\frac{R T}{M g}\right)$ has the dimension of distance and is called, the scale height.
M is the mean molecular mass, $2.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{MOL}$ for hot Jupiter, $28 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{MOL}$ for Earth

Atmosphere of gazeous planets more extended than Earth like!

## I) Transit

## Spectroscopy



Effect of mean molecular weight


- The expected depth of the absorption features in a haze-free atmosphere is proportionalto the atmospheric scale height

Variation of transit depth:
$\Delta \delta_{t r a}=\frac{\pi\left(R_{p}+N_{H} H\right)^{2}}{\pi R_{\star}{ }^{2}}-\frac{\pi R_{p}{ }^{2}}{\pi R_{\star}{ }^{2}} \quad \approx 2 N_{H} \delta_{t r a}\left(\frac{H}{R_{p}}\right)$
Scale height: $\boldsymbol{H}=\frac{\boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{T}}{\boldsymbol{M g}}$; Number of scale heights: $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{H}} \approx 7$ (for low resolution)
$\rightarrow$ Transit spectroscopy easier for high scale height (e.g. hot giant planets)

## I) Transit

## Spectroscopy



Effect of mean molecular weight

$M=$ mean mass of one mol of atmospheric particles = $0.029 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mol}$ for Earth
$T=$ mean atmospheric temperature in kelvins $=250 \mathrm{~K}$ for Earth

## Variation of transit depth:

$\Delta \delta_{t r a}=\frac{\pi\left(R_{p}+N_{H} H\right)^{2}}{\pi R_{\star}{ }^{2}}-\frac{\pi R_{p}{ }^{2}}{\pi R_{\star}{ }^{2}} \quad \approx 2 N_{H} \delta_{t r a}\left(\frac{H}{R_{p}}\right)$
Scale height: $\boldsymbol{H}=\frac{\boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{T}}{\boldsymbol{M g}}$; Number of scale heights: $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{H}} \approx \mathbf{7}$ (for low resolution)
$\mathrm{R}=$ Molar gas constant, units of energy per temperature increment per mole, meaning Avogadro constant multiplied by the Boltzmann constant $k$

For an Sun-like star:

- Hot Jupiter ( $T=1300 \mathrm{~K}, g=25 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-2}, M=2.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ ): $\delta_{\text {tra }} \approx 0.01, \Delta \delta_{\text {tra }} \approx 4.10^{-4}$
- Earth-like planet ( $\left.T=280 \mathrm{~K}, g=10 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-2}, M=28 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}\right): \delta_{\text {tra }} \approx 10^{-4}, \Delta \delta_{\text {tra }} \approx 2.10^{-6}$


## The Sun's planets are cold

Some key O, C, N, S molecules are not in gas form


## Warm/hot exoplanets



O, C, N, S (TI, VO, SI) MOLECULES ARE IN GAS FORM



There pointing to the presence of vegetation on Mars. PhotoThere has long been evidence phe Lowell Observatory have for decades shomer a wave of taken by E. C. Sliphensity of the dark regions. Every (1). In addition to the sonal variation of the intensity regions toward the equa that were never dark have bedarkening spreads from the polar-systematic changes; a blended into the desert regions. seasonal variation there are non-s have become light and occurred in 1954 when an area come dark, and a few dark areas A striking case of the app longitude and $20^{\circ}$ latoing development for many yeatory during 580,000 square miles has, however, been undergolng Harvard College observator Mars (3). in which it is situate the 61-inch telescope oresence of organic molecules on Mars (he renance

The author using the a new test for the presen at $3.5 \mu$ as a result of this band was the 1956 opposition made a strong absorption bands in the plants tested, atoms attachOrganic molecules possess bonds. It was found that in between a pair of hydrogen of their carbon-hydrogen result of interaction double, most likely atom, as occurs in paraindicated the presenity of the absorption. ed to the same carbon the 1956 observations indoubt as to the reality not ascertained in

The results of Mars, but they left some douced the absorption were not ascer ment and Mars which produced Furthermore, the regions opposition the test was made agad. this work. At the 1958 opposit the band were established. the reality and distribution


## Detection of 3 molecular bands in 1956...


,orm from Earth atmosphere...
Deuterium and and
get four line 1 lists right.


Figure 2. The spectri of drazonis ath Symia Msjor ofter division by the solkr spectruk, The dashed portion of the curre
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We need good line lists...
Exomol and other groups


IN CINEMAS 20 MAY 2019

Water vapour absorption as a function of temperature and wavelength


Key molecules absorbing in IR


## Temperature-Pressure profile in hot Jupiters



Thermal profiles for the hypothetical 'hot', 'warm' and 'cool' exoplanets (as labelled) used in the chemical models shown in figure. The grey dashed lines represent the equal-abundance curves for $\mathrm{CH} 4-\mathrm{CO}$ and $\mathrm{NH} 3-\mathrm{N} 2$. Profiles to the right of these curves are within the N 2 and/or CO stability fields. The dot-dashed lines show the condensation curves for MgSiO , Mg2SiO4 and Fe (solid, liquid). Moses 2014



Temperature-pressure Profile


Molecules lines list
(a) (i)


Which molecules are expected to be abundant?


## Spectral signature of a transiting planet

$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{2} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{2}$

Molecule a
Molecule b

589. nm Wavelength (nm) Charbonneau et al., 2002

First detection of Na !
Confirmed also from the ground
Sing et al., 2008, Snellen et al. 2009, etc


Charbonneau et al. (2000)

## First detection of Na !

Confirmed also fern the ground Sing et al., 2008, Snellen et al. 200

the transmission spectra can be explained by the combination of the centre-to-limb variation and the Rossiter-McLaughlin effect.

Rule of thumb, if atomic species or molecules are both present in the star and the planet, we'd better be cautious....

STIS: Lya HD 209458b
~9\% absorption in the Ly $\alpha$ line, No red/blue shift


Ben-Jaffel, ApJL, 2008

15\% absorption in the Lyo line


Vidal-Madjar et al., Nature, 2003 Ballester, Sing, Herbert, Nature, 2007

STIS: Lya HD 209458b

Planetary properties of the upper atmosphere


Koskinen et al., Nature, 2008

Stellar wind

Holmstrom et al., Nature, 2008

Koskinen et al., 2010; Yelle, 2003; Lecavelier et al., 2003; Lammer..2004, Tian et al. 2005

## CII Transit Measurements

(Linsky et al. 2010)





## Silll Transit Measurements

(Linsky et al. 2010)


## Phase curves u Andromeda

Harrington et al. 2006


## Day/Night phase curves, COROT-2b, HD190733b


essentialelement of life,
because without water,
you con't make coffee.


## Water vapor in the hot Jupiter HD189733b



Tinetti et al., Nature, 2007; Beaulieu et al. 2008

## SPITZER $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, (channel 1)




## Correcting for pixel phase effects



Estimating systematic trends from the data


## HD189733b, Water + Methane



Swain, Vasisht, Tinetti, Nature, 2008

## HD189733b, Water + Methane



## Water, CO on HD 189733b occultation obs: Spitzer



Charbonneau et al., ApJ, 2008; Barman, ApJL, 2008

## HD209458b



GJ1214, super Earth ? Mini Neptunes ? With HST clouds are currently hidding molecules Need to go further to the IR




## Snellen et al., 2010, VLT spectra of HD209458b



Figure S2: Models used for the transmission of carbon monoxide (top panel), water vapour (middle panel), and methane (lower panel) in the atmosphere of HD209458b.



## Gravity spectra of betapicb, R=500 and $R=70$

1) mass ~ brown dwarf
2) low C/O ratio for the planet suggests a formation through coreaccretion, with strong planetesimal enrichment.

exoplanet
beta pictoris b

6 AU

## Analysing exoplanet data, Chef's cooking recipie



HST Archives


IRACLIS code

Tsiaras et al.



TauREX code

Waldmann, Al Refaie Changeat, Edwards, et al


Computing power

Ariel school 2019

## In White light

## We fit a transit model $x$ systematics model

## Models for systematics

$$
\left.n_{w}^{s c a n}=\left(1-\mathrm{ra}_{1} \mathrm{t}-\mathrm{TO}\right)\right)\left(1-\mathrm{rb}_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{rb} 2(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{to})}\right)
$$

## Linear ramp

Exponential ramp

Figure 4.7: Results from the analysis of the white light-curve for the test case of HD 209458 b. Top panel: Normalised raw light-curve. Second panel: Light-curve divided by the best-fit model for the systematics. Third panel: Fitting residuals. Bottom panel: Autocorrelation function of the residuals.



## In white light



## White light versus 1.3 microns








planet WASP-127b, Skaf et al. 2021 Ariel School)

## 1.1-1.7 microns <br> H2O dominated spectra



## ARES I: CHARACTERISING HOT JUPITERS WASP-127 B, WASP-79 B AND WASP-62 B WITH HUBBLE WFC3 TRANSMISSION SPECTRA*

Nour Skaf, ${ }^{1,2}$ Michelle Fabienne Bieger, ${ }^{3}$ Billy Edwards, ${ }^{2}$ Quentin Changeat, ${ }^{2}$ Mario Morvan, ${ }^{2}$ Flavien Kiefer, ${ }^{4}$ Doriann Blain, ${ }^{1}$ Tiziano Zingales, ${ }^{5}$ Mathilde Poveda, ${ }^{6,7}$ Ahmed Al-Refaie, ${ }^{2}$ Robin Baeyens, ${ }^{8}$ Amélie Gressier, ${ }^{4,1,9}$ Gloria Giulluy, ${ }^{10,11}$ Adam Yassin Jaziri, ${ }^{5}$ Darius Modirrousta-Galian, ${ }^{11}$ Lorenzo Mugnai, ${ }^{12}$ William Pluriel, ${ }^{5}$ Niall Whiteford, ${ }^{13}$ Sam Wright, ${ }^{2}$ Benjamin Charnay, ${ }^{1}$ Angelos Tsiaras, ${ }^{2}$ Ingo Waldmann, ${ }^{2}$ and Jean-Philippe Beaulieu ${ }^{14,4}$

Table 1. Target Parameters

| Parameter | WASP-127b | WASP-79b | WASP-62b |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stellar parameters |  |  |
| Spectral type | G5 | F5 | F7 |
| $T_{\text {eff }}(\mathrm{K})$ | 5750 | 6600 | 6230 |
| $\log g(\mathrm{cgs})$ | 3.9 | 4.06 | 4.45 |
| $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ | -0.18 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| Planetary parameters |  |  |  |
| $P(\mathrm{~d})$ | 4.17807015 | 3.662387 | 4.411953 |
| $T_{\text {mid }}(\mathrm{BJD}-2450000)$ | 8138.670144 | 7815.89868 | 5855.39195 |
| $I_{c}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 87.88 | 83.3 | 88.3 |
| $M_{\mathrm{P}}\left(M_{J}\right)$ | 0.18 | 0.9 | 0.57 |
| $R_{\mathrm{P}}\left(R_{J}\right)$ | 1.37 | 2.09 | 1.39 |
| $T_{\text {eq }, A=0}$ | 1400 | 1900 | 1440 |

Derived parameters used for the Iraclis runs

| $R_{\mathrm{P}} / R_{*}$ | 0.09992 | 0.112606 | 0.1091 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{\mathrm{pl}} / R_{\star}$ | 7.846 | 6.069 | 9.5253 |

4


## Skaf et al.

Table 4. Comparison of the Bayesian log evidence for different models. For WASP-79b and WASP-62b, the retrieved temperature is always significantly below the equilibrium temperature for the planet, particularly if FeH is not included as an opacity rce.

| WASP-127b (No Molecules Log Evidence: 1.73) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Setup | Log Evidence | Retrieved Temperature $[\mathrm{K}]$ | Equilibrium Temperature $[\mathrm{K}]$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 161.87 | 1027 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{NH} 3$ | 161.27 | 1005 | $\sim 1400$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{FeH}$ | 170.20 | 1305 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{NH} 3, \mathrm{FeH}$ | 169.64 | 1304 |  |

WASP-79 (No Molecules Log Evidence: 173.53)

| Setup | Log Evidence | Retrieved Temperature [ K ] | Equilibrium Temperature [ K ] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 188.34 | 621 | $\sim 1800$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{NH} 3$ | 187.98 | 603 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{FeH}$ | 190.87 | 888 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{NH} 3, \mathrm{FeH}$ | 190.60 | 924 |  |
| WASP-62 (No Molecules Log Evidence: 184.49) |  |  |  |
| Setup | Log Evidence | Retrieved Temperature [K] | Equilibrium Temperature [ K ] |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 191.65 | 607 | $\sim 1450$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{NH} 3$ | 190.92 | 597 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{FeH}$ | 193.40 | 842 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{NH} 3, \mathrm{FeH}$ | 193.11 | 894 |  |



Table 3. Table of fitted parameters for the retrievals performed on our targets

| Retrieved Parameters | bounds | WASP-127b | WASP-79b | WASP-62b |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\log \left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ | $1 \mathrm{e}-12-1 \mathrm{e}-1$ | $-2.71_{-1.05}^{+0.78}$ | $-2.34_{-0.72}^{+0.51}$ | $-2.56_{-1.17}^{+0.76}$ |
| $\log [\mathrm{FeH}]$ | $1 \mathrm{e}-12-1 \mathrm{e}-1$ | $-5.25_{-1.10}^{+0.88}$ | $-4.39_{-1.12}^{+0.88}$ | $-4.10_{-1.82}^{+1.26}$ |
| $\log \left[\mathrm{CH} H_{4}\right]$ | $1 \mathrm{e}-12-1 \mathrm{e}-1$ | $<-5$ | $<-5$ | $<-5$ |
| $\log [\mathrm{CO}]$ | $1 \mathrm{e}-12-1 \mathrm{e}-1$ | $<-3$ | $<-3$ | $<-3$ |
| $\log \left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right]$ | $1 \mathrm{e}-12-1 \mathrm{e}-1$ | $<-3$ | $<-3$ | $<-3$ |
| $\log [\mathrm{NH} 3]$ | $1 \mathrm{e}-12-1 \mathrm{e}-1$ | $<-5$ | $<-5$ | $<-5$ |
| $T_{p}(\mathrm{~K})$ | $400-2500$ | $1304_{-175}^{+185}$ | $924_{-204}^{+242}$ | $894_{-239}^{+248}$ |
| $R_{p}\left(R_{\text {jup }}\right)$ | $\pm 50 \%$ | $1.15_{-0.04}^{+0.04}$ | $1.69_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$ | $1.34_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$ |
| $\log P_{\text {clouds }}$ | $1 \mathrm{e}-2-1 \mathrm{e} 6$ | $1.7_{-0.66}^{+0.93}$ | $>3$ | $2.5_{-0.88}^{+1.1}$ |
| $\mu($ derived $)$ |  | $2.34_{-0.03}^{+0.20}$ | $2.38_{-0.07}^{+0.33}$ | $2.46_{-0.04}^{+0.32}$ |
| ADI | - | 167.9 | 17.1 | 8.6 |
| $\sigma$-level | - | $>5 \sigma$ | $>5 \sigma$ | $3-5 \sigma$ |

Changeat Q., et al. 2022 «Five Key Exoplanet Questions Answered via the Analysis of 25 Hot-Jupiter Atmosnheres in Eclinse ». AnJS







## Population study, 26 planets < 6 Rearth



Gressier, Changeat, Edwards et al. 2022 submitted

| Planet | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | CO | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | HCN | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | references |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 55 Cancri e |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | T16a |
| GJ 436 b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GJ 1132 b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GJ 1214 b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GJ 3470 b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B19a, E22 |
| HAT-P-11 b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | F14,E22 |
| HAT-P-26 b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | W17, MD19, E22 |
| HD 3167 c |  |  |  |  |  |  | G20, ME20, E22 |  |
| HD 97658 b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E22 |
| HD 106315 c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HD 219666 b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIP 41378 b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | T19, B19b, E22 |
| K2-18 b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K2-24 b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E22 |
| LHS 1140 b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LTT 9779 b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOI-270 c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E22 |
| TOI-270 d |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B22, E22 |
| TOI-674 b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 d |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 e |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 f |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 h |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## First JWST direct imaging of HIP 65426b, NIRCAM+MIRI



Figure 9. All existing spectroscopic and photometric observations of HIP 65426 b as obtained from SPHERE/IFS (triangles) SPHERE/IRDIS (squares), NaCo (diamonds), and JWST (circles). Top: Data are plotted alongside the 1, 2, and $3 \sigma$ confidence intervals obtained from fitting to a collection of BT-SETTL atmospheric forward models (blue shaded regions), and the model values in the photometric bandpasses (small blue circles). At $3 \sigma$, the best fit models occupy parameter ranges of $T_{\text {eff }}=1673_{-25}^{+27} \mathrm{~K}$ $\log (g)=4.10_{-0.17}^{+0.20}$ dex, and $R=0.90_{-0.04}^{+0.04} R_{\text {Jup. }}$. The NaCo data have not been included in the model fitting process. Also plotted are the normalised filter throughput profiles for all photometric observations, with the NaCo throughputs scaled by a factor of 2 to improve clarity. Bottom: Residuals of each data point relative to the best fit model in addition to 1,2 , and $3 \sigma$ regions (grey shading)


Figure 11. Posterior distributions for the BT-Settl atmospheric model fitting to both $J W S T$ and $V L T /$ SPHERE observations of HIP 65426 b . Best fit values and $1 \sigma$ uncertainties are indicated, however, these should be interpreted as the model phase pace that fits these data, and not the precision to which these properties can be empirically measured

## Hot saturn WASP-39b

- Orbit a G7 star in 4.05 days
- 0.28 Mjup and 1.28 Rjup
- Temperature 1170 K

Panek et al., 2022 in prep

WASP-39b


## Hot saturn WASP-39b

Notice the two Spitzer points 3.6 and 4.5 microns



## Hot saturn WASP-39b

- Orbit a G7 star in 4.05 days
- 0.28 Mjup and 1.28 Rjup
- Temperature 1170 K


